



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/124,805	07/29/1998	JOHN O. LAMPING	D/98205Q1	7115
22470	7590 03/11/2002			
HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 366 HALF MOO	; NBAY, CA 94019		HAVAN, THU THAO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Col

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/124,805	LAMPING ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thu-Thao Havan	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If No - Fail - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 C	October 2000 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3)□	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	ion of Claims						
4)[🛚	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5 \□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
′=	☐ Claim(s)is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)							
,	ion Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmer	-						
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>14</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2672

ď., '

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-15 are pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 30, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As addressed below, Lamping et al. anticipated the amendment.

Lamping teaches the step of obtaining nearby relationship data and obtaining layout data based on the nearby relationship data when he discloses "each node at each lower level having a parent node at a next higher level to which the node is related through one link...[and] a node-link structure to obtain layout data, indicating positions for parts of the node-link structure in a layout space" (col. 32, lines 19-35; col. 16, lines 45-63; col. 25, lines 52-62; col. 4, lines 44-50; fig. 5-7 and 17). The lower level nodes having a parent node corresponds to obtaining the nearby relationship as claimed. In data structure, the parent and the child nodes (lower level nodes) are the nearest relationships between nodes. As for obtaining layout data based on the nearby relationship, Lamping teaches a node-link structure to obtain layout data. He teaches the layout of the data when he indicates the position of the nodes in a data structure.

Art Unit: 2672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lamping et al. (US Patent No. 5,619,632).
- 5. As to claim 1, the prior art Lamping had:
- A.) A method of laying out a node-link structure in space with negative curvature (col. 16, lines 45-63; col. 25, lines 52-62; fig. 17). In the specification of the application, page 11 and lines 3-7, the inventors claim the negative curature as a space in which parallel lines diverge...there are multiple other straight lines parallel to the given straight line. An example of a space with negative curature is hyperbolic n-space. Therefore, Lamping of patent number 5,619,632 teaches a negative curvature when he discloses representation includes link features taht are lines representing links between nodes in a nole-link stucture and node features, some of which are rectangles with characters in them but others of which are intersections or ends lines as in figures 14-16. Particularly, figure 17 discloses negative curature when there are parallel lines of parents and children nodes that diverge into many other nodes.
- B.) The method comprising of obtaining nearby relationship data for an element in the structure, the nearby relationship data indicating information about nearby node-link relationships (col. 4, lines 44-50; col. 32, lines 19-35). Lamping teaches that each

Art Unit: 2672

b

node at each lower level having a parent node at a next higher level to which the node is related through one link corresponds to the nearby relationship data indicating information about nearby node-link relationships. When the nodes are linked than there are relationships between nodes.

- C.) The method comprising of the based on the nearby relationship data, obtaining layout data indicating the element's position relative to a parent in the space iwht negative curvature (col. 16, lines 53-63; col. 32, lines 19-35; fig. 5-7). Lamping teaches the step of the lower level node features that share a parent node feature having centers of area positioned in order approximately along an arc with sufficiently similar spacings from the center of area of the parent node feature corresponds to the step of obtaining layout data indicating the element's position relative to a parent in the space. The area of positioning the nodes indicates the element's position.
- As to claims 2-4, Lamping discloses the space with negative curature is a hyperbolic space (col.17, lines 28-44, col. 16, lines 53-62; col. 20, lines 20-52). Lamping teaches a negative curature as a hyperbolic space when he discloses the layout space is a hyperbolic plane.
- 7. As to claims 6-7, Lamping discloses the radii and angles for the set of children to obatin a position displacement and an angle displacement between the parent and the element (col. 23 and 24; fig. 13).
- 8. As to claim 8, Lamping discloses the nearby node-link relationships include only relationships among the parent and the parent's children and grandchildren (col. 25, lines 24-50; fig. 13).

Art Unit: 2672

Ì

9. As to claims 9-12, Lamping discloses the method is performed in each of a series of iterations (col. 19, lines 61-67; col. 20 and 21; fig. 12).

10. The limitations of claim 5 analyzed as discussed with respect to claims 1 and 13-15 above.

Conclusion

This is a cpa of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/124,805. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2672

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 308-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Thu-Thao Havan February 22, 2002 MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800